This month we'll investigate the mathematics of balance scales. Our study begins with the natural language of computers, binary arithmetic, but soon moves on to the more exotic ternary or base-3 system. Even there, we'll find that computers come in handy. Consider the balance scale and measuring weights shown in figure 1. We intend to weigh some commodity (say, coffee) to the nearest ounce. The coffee goes in the right pan, and we add sufficient weights to the left pan until the scales balance, giving us the weight of the coffee.

What would be the minimum set of measuring weights needed to weigh at least 30 ounces of coffee? Before proceeding, you are urged to try and solve this warm-up question.

Thinking in familiar decimal terms, our first guess might be a set of eight weights: 10, 10, 5, 1, 1, 1, 1, and 1 oz., respectively. An obvious refinement gives six weights: 10, 10, 5, 2, 2, and 1. However, further experimentation leads to the ideal solution of five weights: 16, 8, 4, 2, and 1, with which we can actually measure any amount up to 31 oz.

First There Were Two

If you're thinking that the last sequence is composed of powers of 2, and thus suggests that the problem is binary in nature, you're right. To see why, look at the binary representation of our 31-oz. maximum weight: 11111, which represents the number $1 \times 2^4 + 1 \times 2^3 + 1 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$. Each of the binary digits in the number corresponds to one of our weights. Smaller amounts are weighed by removing one or more of the binary counterweights.

We now have an automatic method for determining which weights are needed to offset a given amount: First convert the amount into binary notation, and then read off the digits. For each 1 digit, we take the correspondingly marked weight. For instance, 28 = 11100₂, meaning that we use only the 16-, 8-, and 4-oz. counterweights to offset a 28-oz. portion of coffee.

Our binary analysis also gives us the useful information that with $n$ weights valued at 1, 2, 4, . . . , $2^n$, we can counterbalance any amount up to $2^n - 1$.

Now we'll alter the weighing method to allow weights to be placed in either pan. For instance, to weigh out 3 oz. of coffee, we place the 4-oz. counterweight in the left pan and the 1-oz. counterweight in the right pan, plus enough coffee to balance the scale. The mathematical expression of this is $4 = 1 + c$, with $c$ being the amount of coffee.

What's the minimum set of weights using this "bilateral" weighing method? Experiment with this one and come up with your own guess. (Hint: We can get by with fewer weights than with the "unilateral" system.)

And Then There Were Three

Let's apply a little computer logic to the question. In the unilateral system, a weight could have two possible "states": on the scale or off the scale; that's why our binary model works so well. But in the new system, a weight can have three possible states: in the right pan, in the left pan, or off the scale. This leads us to try a ternary model for the counterweight values: 1, 3, 9, and so forth. By trial and error, we find that using just the weights 1, 3, 9, and 27, we can counterbalance any weight up to 40.

Can we apply the automatic method again for determining which weights will be needed to counterbalance a given amount? Let's try to weigh out 22 oz. of coffee. First convert 22 to ternary notation: $22 = 2 \times 3^2 + 1 \times 3^1 + 1 \times 3^0 = 211₃$. So we place two 9-oz. weights, one 3-oz. weight, and one 1-oz. weight in the left pan, and we place sufficient coffee in the right pan to balance the scale. The corresponding equation is $9 + 9 + 3 + 1 = c$.

But there's a problem—we don't have two 9-oz. weights. Furthermore, we're supposed to be placing some of the weights in the right pan; that's the bilateral method.

We don't give up, though. Instead, we mentally add another 9-oz. weight to each pan (even though we really don't have any more 9-oz. weights). The scales still balance, and the equation is $9 + 9 + 3 + 1 = c + 9$. But the left side can be rewritten as $27 + 3 + 1$, and it suggests a real solution to the problem: On the left scale, place 27-, 3-, and 1-oz. weights; on the right scale, place a 9-oz. weight and the coffee to be weighed.

Fortunately, it is not necessary to perform this mental juggling act every time with the bilateral method. Ternary notation gives us another automatic process for figuring out which weights to use.

First convert the number (the amount to be weighed out) to ternary. For example, 22 = 211₃. Examine the digits of the ternary number from right to left. Each time we encounter a 2, change it to a −1 and add 1 to the next digit on the left. Continue moving to the left until no more 2s remain.

In our example, we'll use delimiters to separate each of the individual digits: 211₃ = (1) (−1) (1) (1). The resulting modified ternary "number" is a sequence of 1s, 0s, and −1s. For each 1, place the corresponding weight on the left pan. For each −1, place the corresponding weight on the right pan. Now add enough coffee to the right pan until the scale is balanced.

For practice, apply this automatic method.
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method to the number 46. (Hint: You should end up using a bilateral combination of 81, 27, 9, and 1.)

In general, given \( n \) weights valued at 1, 3, 9, \ldots, \( 3^{n-1} \), the bilateral weighing method will handle any weight up to \( (3^n-1)/2 \).

The program in listing 1 incorporates this ternary arithmetic to "weigh" any amount up to \( (3^{12}-1)/2 \).

With this warm-up completed, we're ready to take on a classic puzzle using a computer-aided approach.

The Counterfeit Coin
We have a set of apparently identical coins containing one counterfeit. The counterfeit is off-weight (either light or heavy). We are to identify the bad coin in

Figure 1: A set of balance scales with standard counterweights.

Listing 1a: BASIC program to verify a weight using ternary-power counterweights. A sample run is also shown.

```
10 DIM A(12),P(12),N(12)
20 N=12
30 CLS
40 PRINT "We have 12 weights, valued as follows..."
50 FOR W=0 TO N-1
60 PRINT 3^W;
70 NEXT W
80 LARGEST=INT((3^N-1)/2)
90 PRINT "Enter the weight to be verified (1-99; LARGEST; 0)"
100 INPUT W: W=INT(W)
110 IF W<1 OR W>LARGEST THEN 90
120 SW=W
130 FOR D=N TO 1 STEP -1
140 Q=INT(W/3)
```

continued
We have 12 weights, valued as follows...  
1  3  9  27  81  243  729  2187  6561  19683  59049  177147

Enter the weight to be verified (1 - 265720)

? 301

Balance the scales this way

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ok

Listing 1b: Alternate lines with simplified I/O for BASICs without the LOCATE feature.

380 PRINT "Left side: "; 490 REM line deleted
400 PRINT P(D), 500 PRINT "??"; SW; "??"
430 PRINT "Right side: "; 510 REM line deleted
450 REM line deleted 520 REM line deleted
460 PRINT N(D), 530 REM line deleted
480 REM line deleted 540 REM line deleted
a specified maximum number of weighings on a balance scale. No standard weights are available; the coins are to be weighed against each other. We are not allowed to add or remove coins during a weighing.

The simplest version of this problem involves eight coins, of which one is known to be heavy. In only two weighings, find the bad coin. Trying to solve this one will give you a greater understanding and appreciation of what follows.

Now for the big one, involving 12 coins, of which one is light or heavy (we don't know which in advance). Using three weighings, we are to find the bad coin and state whether it is light or heavy. Again, you are urged to give this one a try.

Start by numbering the coins from 1 to 12 for reference. Suppose we express those 12 reference numbers in ternary. Further suppose that the result of each weighing (left pan down, right pan down, no difference) could give a new ternary digit, so that after three weighings we are left with a ternary number identifying the bad coin. That would be too easy!

In fact, the method we've sketched out does work, but it's not easy. The preparation is quite complicated (enter the computer to help out).

Preparation Phase
First, for each numbered coin, we need the ternary equivalent and the two's complement (also in ternary). To get the two's complement, subtract each digit from 2. (Equivalently, change each 0 to a 2, each 2 to a 0, and leave 1 unchanged.) For instance, coin #1 is 0013, which has a two's-complement representation of 2213. Table 1 lists the ternary and two's-complement representations for all 12 coins.

The next step is to classify each of our ternary and two's-complement numbers as either "clockwise" or "counterclockwise." To do so, we read a number's digits from left to right and note the first change of digits. If the change is 0 to 1, 1 to 2, or 2 to 0, the number is clockwise. Otherwise, it is counterclockwise. The "clocks" in figure 2 should clarify these directions. In table 1, clockwise numbers are marked with an asterisk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decimal</th>
<th>Ternary</th>
<th>Two's complement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>*001</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>*220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>012</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>020</td>
<td>*202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>021</td>
<td>*201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>022</td>
<td>*200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>*122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>*121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>*120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>*112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Illustration of clockwise and counterclockwise digit changes, for use in classifying numbers. A number is clockwise if its first digit change (starting at the left) is clockwise; otherwise, it is counterclockwise.
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Listing 2a: BASIC program to find a single off-weight coin using a balance scale with no standard weights.

10 DIM A(120,5),R(5)
20 REM
30 REM
40 CLS: PRINT "Bad Coin Finder"
50 INPUT "How many weighings are to be allowed (2 TO 5):"; N
60 IF N<2 OR N>5 THEN 58
70 C=INT((5"N-3)/2)
80 PRINT "Out of "; C; " coins, exactly 1 is bad (light or heavy). I'll find it."
90 PRINT "Numbering the coins;"
100 FOR K=1 TO C
110 PRINT ".
120 D=K
130 FOR J=N TO 1 STEP -1
140 Q=INT(D/3)
150 A(K,J)=D-Q
160 D=Q
170 NEXT J
180 NEXT K
190 PRINT "Pick out the bad coin number (1 to ; C; ) and write it down."
310 INPUT "Press Return to start weighing";RTS
320 FOR W=1 TO N
330 CLS
340 PRINT "Weighing "; W; ";"
350 CI=1
360 FOR J=1 TO C
370 IF A(J,W)=0 THEN LOCATE 12-((CI-1) MOD 10), 1+INT((CI-1)/10)*8: PRINT J;CI=CI+1
380 NEXT J
390 CI=1
400 FOR J=1 TO C
410 IF A(J,W)=2 THEN LOCATE 12-((CI-1) MOD 10),41+INT((CI-1)/10)*8: PRINT J;CI=CI+1
420 NEXT J
430 LOCATE 13,1
440 PRINT "------- TAB(40) -------"
450 PRINT TAB(16) "L" TAB(56) "L"
460 PRINT TAB(16) "L"
470 PRINT TAB(36);":"
480 LOCATE 18,1
490 PRINT "Which side is heavier? L=left, R=right, N=neither; ";
500 WHS=INPUTS(1)
510 PRINT WHS;
520 V=INT((INSTR(1,"LInnR",WHS)+1)/2)
530 IF V=0 THEN 480 ELSE R(W)=V-1
540 NEXT W
550 COIN=0
560 FOR K=1 TO N
570 COIN=COIN+R(K)
580 NEXT K

continued
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The complicated preparation is over, and we are ready to perform some ternary magic.

The Weighing-in
For the first weighing, we place in the left pan every coin whose first (leftmost) digit is 0. In the right pan we place every coin whose first digit is 2. If the left pan goes down (is heavy), we write a 0. If the pans balance, we write a 1. If the right pan goes down, we write a 2.

The second weighing is similar, except we look at each coin's second digit. Into the left pan go the coins whose second digit is 0; into the right pan go the coins whose second digit is 2. After checking the balance, we write down a 0 (left pan down), 1 (balanced), or 2 (right pan down), as before.

For the third weighing, coins whose third (rightmost) digit is 0 go in the left pan; coins whose third digit is 2 go in the right pan. Write down a 0, 1, or 2, as before.

We have now generated a three-digit ternary number. We find the number in Table 1 and read off the corresponding coin number from column 1. That's our bad coin. If the ternary number is clockwise, the coin is heavy; if the ternary number is counterclockwise, the coin is light.

Simple? Not at all. But the method works, and it can be generalized to handle problems allowing \( n \) weighings of \( (3^n-3)/2 \) coins.

For instance, if seven weighings are allowed, we can find the one bad coin among 1092 coins. But applying the method manually would be virtually impossible because of the paperwork involved. Which explains why you won't find reference to a 1092-coin problem in any puzzle book.

Nevertheless, the program in Listing 2 brings computer power to the task, and it lets us handle any arbitrary number of weighings. The program sets an upper limit of 5 to allow simulation of a scale on the screen.

To raise the limit, replace the value 5 with a larger number in lines 10, 50, and 60. In this case, you will also need to include the alternate lines in Listing 2b.

In the program, the computer asks you to pick out the bad coin and write down its number. The computer then simulates each weighing, asking you to tell it which "pan" is heavier. At the end of \( n \) weighings, the computer will identify the bad coin by number and specify whether it is light or heavy.

I would appreciate hearing from readers who have comments on these ternary puzzles or can suggest other mathematical recreations involving alternate number systems.